

Analysis of Object Oriented Metrics Collected by the SATC from NASA Projects in 1998

The table below summarizes the Object Oriented metrics that were collected by the SATC during FY 1998. Of the nearly 20,000 classes analyzed, the vast majority (93%) were written in the C++ language. It is expected that the proportion of Java classes written for NASA systems will increase during the next year.

Summary of OO Project Metrics												
Project ID	# Files	# Classes	# NTLC	LOC	PreProcess	Blank	Comm.	CP	NCNB	Exec. Stmts.	ExCC	Lang.
C100a	322	140	81	57,086	3,620	12,250	17,664	39%	29,014	14,037	3,504	C++
C100b	481	201	124	92,231	6,449	20,019	28,058	39%	47,770	23,002	4,667	C++
C100c	735	355	204	167,541	11,264	34,612	49,940	38%	89,743	42,756	8,679	C++
C100d	1,193	562	297	261,260	20,932	50,862	78,104	37%	145,648	64,935	13,376	C++
C101	3,227	1,966	611	838,128	43,552	108,335	290,628	40%	443,824	175,736	43,999	C++
C102a	6,735	5,107	2,297	2,062,982	80,193	469,213	523,035	33%	1,102,727	506,790	95,889	C++
C102b	7,292	5,035	2,294	2,129,555	89,532	483,859	542,214	33%	1,137,418	513,001	97,087	C++
C102c	5,975	4,566	2,095	1,948,354	79,184	434,469	485,953	32%	1,058,117	481,954	86,221	C++
C++ totals	25,960	17,932	8,003	7,557,137	334,726	1,613,619	2,015,596	3	4,054,261	1,822,211	353,422	
J100a	210	222	81	64,492	775	15,693	14,768	30%	34,468	18,756	5,499	JAVA
J100b	229	243	88	70,514	850	16,771	16,224	30%	37,980	20,403	6,055	JAVA
J100c	325	349	132	113,919	1,087	24,558	27,434	31%	62,795	30,736	10,381	JAVA
J100d	516	565	185	177,356	1,727	35,516	45,032	32%	98,300	47,191	16,158	JAVA
Java Totals	1,280	1,379	486	426,281	4,439	92,538	103,458	1	233,543	117,086	38,093	

Number of Methods per class

The first two charts in the attached set reveal that very few OO classes had more than 50 methods, whether the code was in C++ or java. Based on this data, the SATC recommends that classes with more than 20 methods are marginal for risk, and classes with more than 40 methods are extremely complex and high risk.

Coupling Between Objects

The next two charts confirm the SATC's position that NASA OO code seldom has CBO values greater than 10, and CBO < 5 is the norm.

Response for class

The two bar charts for Response for Class reveal a significant difference between C++ and Java classes. Due to the design and nature of the Java language, RFC values tend to be higher than those written in C++. Current research is focused on establishing reasonable guidelines for maximum RFC for each language.

Weighted Methods per Class

Most NASA OO classes, whether C++ or Java, have WMC values below 100, which is the current SATC recommended maximum. Java code appears to tend toward greater values of WMC than C++.

RFC versus NOM

SATC is currently recommending that RFC should not exceed 5 times the number of methods in a class. Given that this has been the case for 96% of NASA C++ classes analyzed, this is a reasonable guideline. However, as the database for Java code continues to grow, it appears that Java classes will often exceed that value for RFC, and a separate guideline will be appropriate.